



AMERICAN YOUTH SOCCER ORGANIZATION

Burlingame AYSO | Section 2 | Area N | Region 63
P.O. Box 1212, Burlingame, CA 94011
www.burlingameayso.org

[Approved by Board 4/11/23]

BURLINGAME AYSO BOARD MEETING MINUTES - Final

DATE: March 21, 2023, 7:30pm-8:45pm

LOCATION: A Zoom with a view

PREPARED BY: Nick Skelton

Attendees (in black; absent in gray):

- Jamie Russo, RC
- Alex Wong, Treasurer
- Duff Beach, Coach Trainer
- Andrew Haskell, Coach Admin
- Todd Weller, Ref Admin
- Jean Gordon, Registrar
- Thad Gavin, Equipment Coordinator
- Jim Brogan, Safety Director
- Jacquie Haggerty, CVPA
- Bill Clifford, Webmaster
- Nick Skelton, Secretary
- Adam Glass, Fields Coordinator
- John Paulsen, Winter Select Coordinator
- Duff Beach, U16/18 DC
- Neil Chalasini, U14 DC
- Adam Glass, U12DC
- Christina Tsui, U10 DC
- Christine Brown, U7/8 DC
- Rick Sandor
- TBD, 4U/5U/6UDC
- Lorie Rakstins
- Arijs Rakstins
- Gretchen Kindberg, 10UDC
- TBD, PhotoDay

1. Call to Order - meeting called to order at 7:30pm; attendees as listed above.
2. Minutes from Feb meeting; TW proposed; NC seconded, all present approved.
3. RC Reminders and updates
 - a. Review Budget at April meeting and approve at May meeting
4. Registrar (JG)
 - a. No Update
5. Coach Admin (AH)
 - a. *Spring Expo could be a good time to get intermediate or advanced training.*
6. DC reports
 - a. details
7. Treasurer's Update (AW)
 - a. AW: view proposed budget and let AW is anything is missing
 - b. Will review in April meeting and vote in May
8. Ref report (TW)
 - a. No updates

9. Equipment (GR+TG)
 - a. TG would like guidance on how many balls to order for each team at each age level

10. Field Prep (LR+AR)
 - a. No updates

11. Safety (JB)
 - a. No updates

12. Website (BC)
 - a.

13. Field Coordination (AG)
 - a. No Update

14. AOB
 - a. Proposal for unbalanced cup games: once score reaches a designated threshold differential, the losing team is encouraged to concede, and the remainder of the time be used for a scrimmage (see PDF details from DB and TW).
 - i. Pros:
 1. Do at least have a fun, competitive game for the remainder of the time
 - ii. Cons:
 1. not good to shift onus to the losing team - prefer not to do this (LR)
 2. Likely to lose coaches if they are forced to do concede games (AH)
 3. hard for youth referees to manage the situation
 4. Encourages poor coaching / poor sportsmanship for winning coach
 - iii. other comments
 1. imbalance games are not fun for anyone; how do we create games that are still fun
 2. Coaches should be doing more to make the game competitive (even to the extent of pulling the best players)
 3. Have an A and B flight based on regular season performance (LR)
 4. Tension between “balanced teams (and games)” and “everyone plays” (AH)
 5. May need to enable abnormal coaching policies for winning coaches
 - iv. **Vote: AH proposed to not accept the proposal; RS seconds. All present voted to not to adopt the proposed changes.**
 - b. Proposal for Cup game timing: First weekend focus on round robin games; second weekend for semi and final games. Consider playing shorter 2x20 tournament style games (depending on number of teams in a given age group and availability of fields / refs).
 - i. Pros:
 1. Easier to schedule fields and best coaching team (TW)
 2. Could even build schedule ahead of time (unseeded) (AW) (with caveat that CVP points may come into play)
 3. Only better teams have to play both weekends
 4. Less chance for the games to become unbalanced
 - ii. Cons:
 1. None voiced
 - iii. Other comments
 1. Many in favor of shorter games
 - iv. **Vote: Motion to approve suggestions for B’game cup planning. LR proposed; That second. All present approved.**
 - c. Proposed change: Use random seeding of teams in play off games rather than basing on standings in regular season

- i. pro:
- ii. con
- iii. **Vote: TD proposed motion to approve; LR seconded; all present voted in favor.**
- d. Proposal: Other Cup Rules: Move to a 6-3-0 (+1 points for shutout and goal differential up to 3). Mirror Area on tie-breaking rules
 - i. pros:
 - 1. used to use this - worked well
 - 2. no incentive to score more than 3 goal differential
 - ii. Cons:
 - 1. None voiced
 - iii. Discussion
 - 1. Check on 6-3-0 vs 6-2-0
 - 2. Clarify tie-breaker rules
 - iv. **Vote: JR proposed motion to table for later vote, CT second; all present approve**
- e. Proposal: Substitution rules during cup games
 - i. Rule not changed; TW to educate refs on how to deal with this
 - ii. TW and AH to check on how Area handles this (penalty for improper substitutions)
 - iii. May want to be lenient on coaches pulling better players to make games more balanced
 - iv. **Vote: TW move to table discussion; AW second; all present approve**
- f. Proposal: Use KFTM to end tied where a winner is needed cup games; use this for all age groups; no longer use "Burlingame shoot out procedure" for U10 and U12
 - i. Pros:
 - 1. Only required for "must-have-a winner" game
 - 2. We will still have periods of extra time (no golden goal)
 - 3. KFTM are the laws of the game so we should use them and teach our kids about this situation
 - ii. Cons:
 - 1. lots of pressure on a goalkeeper!
 - iii. **Vote: AH proposes to accept motion as written; TD second; all present approved.**

MEETING END (at 8:47 PM)